

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fl	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/658,610 09/09/2003		09/09/2003	Allan Chasanoff	4317P2686	2533
23504	7590	03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
WEISS & N	OY PC		WARREN, DAVID S		
4204 NORTI	H BROW	N AVENUE			
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2837	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/658,610	CHASANOFF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	David S. Warren	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing  - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 S	September 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	own from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 September 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	on No ed in this National Stage				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Art Unit: 2837

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 – 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Childs, Jr. et al. (2004/0055447 A1). Regarding claims 1, 10, and 19, Childs discloses the use of reading a non-musical data set (see paragraph [0002]), selecting musical parameters for mapping data to audio output (see "mapping scheme" discussed in paragraph [0011]), analyzing data to select values of musical elements (see paragraphs [0036] and [0047]), and generating a representation of said output for playing said musical elements in sequence (see Abstract). Claims 10 and 19 also include computer systems, processors, memory, and program code, Childs discloses the use of computers (see [0013], [0030], and [0072]). A computer inherently posses memory, code, and processors. The "signal-bearing media containing program instructions" is deemed functionally equivalent to that part of the Childs' computer containing the

program code (i.e., the hardware). Regarding claims 2, 11, and 20, Childs teaches the use of selecting "plausible" and "anticipated" ranges and the use of statistics (i.e., the Dow Industrial Average, Nasdaq, and the S&P 500). The user of the Childs invention would not configure use of an inappropriate range – otherwise, the Childs invention would not sonify data. Regarding claims 3, 12, and 21, Childs discloses selecting audio values in conformity with statistics (paragraph [0047]; lines 9 – 17). Regarding claims 4, 13, and 22, Childs discloses selecting audio in conformity with deviations of data values (see paragraph [0047], last sentence). Regarding claims 5, 14, and 23, Childs discloses the use of music having statistically determined variations, where variations are controlled by statistics - in paragraph [0057], Childs states: "As the securities or indices move closer to their moving averages, the sonification can become continuous [as opposed to shorter duration]. Regarding claims 6, 15, and 25, Childs discloses the use of financial data, e.g., the Dow Average, Nasdaq, and S&P 500 (see paragraph [0040]. Regarding claims 7, 16, and 25, Childs discloses the use of multiple timbres (i.e., instruments) for different data sets (see Childs' claim 27). Regarding claims 8, 17, and 26, Childs discloses the use of selecting audio elements in conformity with differences between multiple data sets – in paragraph [0042], Childs states: "Volume [i.e., an audio element] can be used in this continuous sonification to indicate when the different data streams approach each other or to indicate when a data stream approaches user-defined anticipated ranges or significant events" [emphasis added]. Regarding claims 9, 18, and 27, Childs discloses the use of selecting audio elements in conformity with differences between statistics of data sets – in paragraph [0059], Childs

Art Unit: 2837

states: "Different moving averages are mapped to different sound types or voices, for example, the 50-day Moving Average is represented by a clarinet and the 200-day Moving Average is represented by a string orchestra."

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The article "Remapping Sensory Data" to Berger shows sonifying of stock market data (see sound example 2). "Sonification Report: Status of the Field and Research Agenda" to Kramer et al. discloses the use of data sonification using statistics (see page 12, first sentence). Assuming argument, the Examiner takes Official Notice, that the "averages" discussed in the rejection fall within the field of statistics. Furthermore, Childs discloses the use of a "computational model" – one of ordinary skill (capable of applying computational models) would certainly find it obvious to use any of the rudimentary forms of statistical analysis, included Z-scores and standard deviation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David S. Warren whose telephone number is 571-272-2076. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:30 A.M. to 6:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 37. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/658;610

Art Unit: 2837

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dsw

MARLONT FLÉTCHER PRIMARY EXAMINER